### Generalized linear mixed models for biologists

#### Ben Bolker, University of Florida

McMaster University

7 May 2009

Ben Bolker, University of Florida GLMM for biologists

## Outline



- Examples
- Generalized linear models
- Mixed models (LMMs)

#### 2 GLMMs

- Estimation
- Inference

Examples Generalized linear models Mixed models (LMMs)

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲

э

æ

## Outline



#### Examples

• Generalized linear models

Mixed models (LMMs)

#### 2 GLMMs

- Estimation
- Inference

Examples Generalized linear models Mixed models (LMMs)

### Coral protection by symbionts

#### Number of predation events



Ben Bolker, University of Florida GLMM for biologists

 Precursors
 Examples

 GLMMs
 Generalized linear models

 References
 Mixed models (LMMs)

### Arabidopsis response to fertilization & clipping

#### panel: nutrient, color: genotype



Precursors Examples GLMMs Generalized lin References Mixed models

### Environmental stress: Glycera cell survival

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

Ben Bolker, University of Florida GLMM for biologists

Examples Generalized linear models Mixed models (LMMs)

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲

э

æ

## Outline

![](_page_6_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Examples
- Generalized linear models
- Mixed models (LMMs)

![](_page_6_Picture_7.jpeg)

- Estimation
- Inference

Precursors Ex GLMMs Ge References M

Examples Generalized linear models Mixed models (LMMs)

・ 一 マ ト ・ 日 ト ・

## Generalized linear models (GLMs)

#### non-normal data, (some) nonlinear relationships; modeling via linear predictor

- presence/absence, alive/dead (binomial)
   count data (Poisson, negative binomial)
- typical applications: **logistic regression** (binomial/logistic), **Poisson regression** (Poisson/exponential)

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲

## Generalized linear models (GLMs)

- non-normal data, (some) nonlinear relationships; modeling via linear predictor
- presence/absence, alive/dead (binomial)
  - count data (Poisson, negative binomial)
- typical applications: **logistic regression** (binomial/logistic), **Poisson regression** (Poisson/exponential)

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲

## Generalized linear models (GLMs)

- non-normal data, (some) nonlinear relationships; modeling via linear predictor
- presence/absence, alive/dead (binomial)
  - count data (Poisson, negative binomial)
- typical applications: logistic regression (binomial/logistic), Poisson regression (Poisson/exponential)

Examples Generalized linear models Mixed models (LMMs)

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲

э

æ

## Outline

#### 1 Precursors

- Examples
- Generalized linear models
- Mixed models (LMMs)

#### 2 GLMMs

- Estimation
- Inference

・ 一 マ ト ・ 日 ト ・

- examples: experimental or observational "blocks" (temporal, spatial); species or genera; individuals; genotypes
- inference on **population** of units rather than individual units
- (units randomly selected from all possible units)
- (reasonably large number of units)

・ 一 マ ト ・ 日 ト ・

- examples: experimental or observational "blocks" (temporal, spatial); species or genera; individuals; genotypes
- inference on **population** of units rather than individual units
- (units randomly selected from all possible units)
- (reasonably large number of units)

・ 一 マ ト ・ 日 ト ・

- examples: experimental or observational "blocks" (temporal, spatial); species or genera; individuals; genotypes
- inference on **population** of units rather than individual units
- (units randomly selected from all possible units)
- (reasonably large number of units)

- examples: experimental or observational "blocks" (temporal, spatial); species or genera; individuals; genotypes
- inference on **population** of units rather than individual units
- (units randomly selected from all possible units)
- (reasonably large number of units)

#### Mixed models: classical approach

- Partition sums of squares, calculate null expectations if fixed effect is 0 (all coefficients β<sub>i</sub> = 0) or RE variance=0
- Figure out numerator (model) & denominator (residual) sums of squares and degrees of freedom
  - Model SSQ, df: variability explained by the "effect" (difference between model with and without the effect) and number of parameters used
  - **Residual SSQ, df**: variability caused by finite sample size (number of observations minus number "used up" by the model)

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲

### Mixed models: classical approach

- Partition sums of squares, calculate null expectations if fixed effect is 0 (all coefficients β<sub>i</sub> = 0) or RE variance=0
- Figure out numerator (model) & denominator (residual) sums of squares and degrees of freedom
  - Model SSQ, df: variability explained by the "effect" (difference between model with and without the effect) and number of parameters used
  - **Residual SSQ, df**: variability caused by finite sample size (number of observations minus number "used up" by the model)

・ 一 マ ト ・ 日 ト ・

Examples Generalized linear models Mixed models (LMMs)

### Classical LMM cont.

- Robust, practical
- OK if
  - data are Normal
  - design is (nearly) balanced
  - design not too complicated (single RE, or nested REs) (crossed REs: e.g. year effects that apply across all spatial blocks)

・ 一 マ ト ・ 日 ト ・

Precursors Examples GLMMs Generalized linear mod References Mixed models (LMMs)

#### Mixed models: modern approach

- Construct a likelihood for the data (Prob(observing data|parameters)) — in mixed models, requires integrating over possible values of REs (marginal likelihood)
- e.g.:
  - likelihood of *i*<sup>th</sup> obs. in block *j* is  $L_{\text{Normal}}(x_{ij}|\theta_i, \sigma_w^2)$
  - likelihood of a particular block mean  $\theta_j$  is  $L_{\text{Normal}}(\theta_j|0,\sigma_b^2)$
  - overall likelihood is  $\int L(x_{ij}|\theta_j, \sigma_w^2) L(\theta_j|0, \sigma_b^2) d\theta_j$
- Figure out how to do the integral

#### Mixed models: modern approach

- Construct a likelihood for the data (Prob(observing data|parameters)) — in mixed models, requires integrating over possible values of REs (marginal likelihood)
- e.g.:
  - likelihood of  $i^{\text{th}}$  obs. in block j is  $L_{\text{Normal}}(x_{ij}|\theta_i, \sigma_w^2)$
  - likelihood of a particular block mean  $\theta_j$  is  $L_{\text{Normal}}(\theta_j|0,\sigma_b^2)$
  - overall likelihood is  $\int L(x_{ij}|\theta_j, \sigma_w^2) L(\theta_j|0, \sigma_b^2) d\theta_j$
- Figure out how to do the integral

#### Mixed models: modern approach

- Construct a likelihood for the data (Prob(observing data|parameters)) — in mixed models, requires integrating over possible values of REs (marginal likelihood)
- e.g.:
  - likelihood of  $i^{\text{th}}$  obs. in block j is  $L_{\text{Normal}}(x_{ij}|\theta_i, \sigma_w^2)$
  - likelihood of a particular block mean  $\theta_j$  is  $L_{\text{Normal}}(\theta_j | 0, \sigma_b^2)$
  - overall likelihood is  $\int L(x_{ij}|\theta_j, \sigma_w^2) L(\theta_j|0, \sigma_b^2) d\theta_j$
- Figure out how to do the integral

Examples Generalized linear models Mixed models (LMMs)

# Shrinkage

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Coral symbionts: simple experimental blocks, RE affects intercept (overall probability of predation in block)
- *Glycera*: applied to cells from 10 individuals, RE again affects intercept (cell survival prob.)
- Arabidopsis: region (3 levels, treated as fixed) / population / genotype: affects intercept (overall fruit set) as well as treatment effects (nutrients, herbivory, interaction)

# Outline

#### Precursors

- Examples
- Generalized linear models
- Mixed models (LMMs)

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

→ < ∃ →</p>

æ

- alternate steps of estimating GLM given known block variances; estimate LMMs given GLM fit
- flexible (allows spatial/temporal correlations, crossed REs)
- **biased** for small unit samples (e.g. counts < 5, binary or low-survival data) (Breslow, 2004)
- nevertheless, widely used: SAS PROC GLIMMIX, R glmmPQL: in  $\approx$  90% of small-unit-sample cases

- alternate steps of estimating GLM given known block variances; estimate LMMs given GLM fit
- flexible (allows spatial/temporal correlations, crossed REs)
- biased for small unit samples (e.g. counts < 5, binary or low-survival data) (Breslow, 2004)
- nevertheless, widely used: SAS PROC GLIMMIX, R glmmPQL: in  $\approx$  90% of small-unit-sample cases

- alternate steps of estimating GLM given known block variances; estimate LMMs given GLM fit
- flexible (allows spatial/temporal correlations, crossed REs)
- biased for small unit samples (e.g. counts < 5, binary or low-survival data) (Breslow, 2004)
- nevertheless, widely used: SAS PROC GLIMMIX, R glmmPQL: in  $\approx$  90% of small-unit-sample cases

- alternate steps of estimating GLM given known block variances; estimate LMMs given GLM fit
- flexible (allows spatial/temporal correlations, crossed REs)
- biased for small unit samples (e.g. counts < 5, binary or low-survival data) (Breslow, 2004)
- nevertheless, widely used: SAS PROC GLIMMIX, R glmmPQL: in  $\approx$  90% of small-unit-sample cases

### Better methods

#### • Laplace approximation

- approximate marginal likelihood
- considerably more accurate than PQL
- reasonably fast and flexible
- adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature (AGQ)
  - compute additional terms in the integral
  - most accurate
  - slowest, hence not flexible (2–3 RE at most, maybe only 1)

Becoming available: R 1me4, SAS PROC NLMIXED, PROC GLIMMIX (v. 9.2), Genstat GLMM

### Better methods

#### • Laplace approximation

- approximate marginal likelihood
- considerably more accurate than PQL
- reasonably fast and flexible

#### • adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature (AGQ)

- compute additional terms in the integral
- most accurate
- slowest, hence not flexible (2-3 RE at most, maybe only 1)

Becoming available: R 1me4, SAS PROC NLMIXED, PROC GLIMMIX (v. 9.2), Genstat GLMM

### Better methods

#### • Laplace approximation

- approximate marginal likelihood
- considerably more accurate than PQL
- reasonably fast and flexible

#### • adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature (AGQ)

- compute additional terms in the integral
- most accurate
- slowest, hence not flexible (2-3 RE at most, maybe only 1)

Becoming available: R 1me4, SAS PROC NLMIXED, PROC GLIMMIX (v. 9.2), Genstat GLMM

#### References

### Comparison of coral symbiont results

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

(日)

э

# Outline

#### 1 Precursors

- Examples
- Generalized linear models
- Mixed models (LMMs)

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

→ ∢ ≣

æ

## General issues: testing RE significance

#### • Counting "model" df for REs

- how many parameters does a RE require? Somewhere between 1 and n ... Hard to compute, and depends on the level of focus (Vaida and Blanchard, 2005)
- Boundary effects for RE testing
  - most tests depend on null hypothesis being within the parameter's feasible range (Molenberghs and Verbeke, 2007): violated by H<sub>0</sub>: σ<sup>2</sup> = 0

< 🗇 🕨 < 🚍 🕨

- REs may count for < 1 df (typically  $\approx$  0.5)
- if ignored, tests are conservative

## General issues: testing RE significance

#### • Counting "model" df for REs

- how many parameters does a RE require? Somewhere between 1 and n ... Hard to compute, and depends on the level of focus (Vaida and Blanchard, 2005)
- Boundary effects for RE testing
  - most tests depend on null hypothesis being within the parameter's feasible range (Molenberghs and Verbeke, 2007): violated by H<sub>0</sub>: σ<sup>2</sup> = 0
  - REs may count for < 1 df (typically  $\approx$  0.5)
  - if ignored, tests are conservative

### General issues: finite-sample issues (!)

#### How far are we from "asymptopia"?

- Many standard procedures are asymptotic
- "Sample size" may refer the number of RE **units** often far more restricted than total number of data points
- Hard to count degrees of freedom for complex designs: Kenward-Roger correction

### General issues: finite-sample issues (!)

How far are we from "asymptopia"?

- Many standard procedures are asymptotic
- "Sample size" may refer the number of RE **units** often far more restricted than total number of data points
- Hard to count degrees of freedom for complex designs: Kenward-Roger correction

### General issues: finite-sample issues (!)

How far are we from "asymptopia"?

- Many standard procedures are asymptotic
- "Sample size" may refer the number of RE **units** often far more restricted than total number of data points
- Hard to count degrees of freedom for complex designs: Kenward-Roger correction

# Specific procedures

- Likelihood Ratio Test:
  - need large sample size (= large # of RE units!)
- Wald (Z,  $\chi^2$ , t or F) tests
  - crude approximation
  - asymptotic (for non-overdispersed data?) or ...
  - ... how do we count residual df?
  - don't know if null distributions are correct
- AIC
  - asymptotic (properties unknown)
  - could use AIC<sub>c</sub>, but ? need residual df

# Specific procedures

• Likelihood Ratio Test:

need large sample size (= large # of RE units!)

- Wald (Z,  $\chi^2$ , t or F) tests
  - crude approximation
  - asymptotic (for non-overdispersed data?) or ...
  - ... how do we count residual df?
  - don't know if null distributions are correct
- AIC
  - asymptotic (properties unknown)
  - could use AIC<sub>c</sub>, but ? need residual df

# Specific procedures

• Likelihood Ratio Test:

need large sample size (= large # of RE units!)

- Wald (Z,  $\chi^2$ , t or F) tests
  - crude approximation
  - asymptotic (for non-overdispersed data?) or ...
  - ... how do we count residual df?
  - don't know if null distributions are correct
- AIC
  - asymptotic (properties unknown)
  - could use AIC<sub>c</sub>, but ? need residual df

GLMMs References Estimation Inference

#### Glycera results

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

Ben Bolker, University of Florida

GLMM for biologists

Precursors GLMMs <u>Re</u>ferences

Estimation Inference

#### Testing assumptions

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

Estimation Inference

### Arabidopsis genotype effects

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

æ

э

< /₽ > < ∃ >

Estimation Inference

#### Where are we?

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

Ben Bolker, University of Florida GLMM for biologists

## Now what?

- MCMC (finicky, slow, dangerous, we have to "go Bayesian": specialized procedures for GLMMs, or WinBUGS translators? (glmmBUGS, MCMCglmm)
- quasi-Bayes mcmcsamp in 1me4 (unfinished!)
- parametric bootstrapping:
  - fit null model to data
  - simulate "data" from null model
  - fit null and working model, compute likelihood diff.
  - repeat to estimate null distribution
  - ? analogue for confidence intervals?
- challenges depend on data: total size, # REs, # RE units, overdispersion, design complexity . . .

(日)

More info: glmm.wikidot.com

# Now what?

- MCMC (finicky, slow, dangerous, we have to "go Bayesian": specialized procedures for GLMMs, or WinBUGS translators? (glmmBUGS, MCMCglmm)
- quasi-Bayes mcmcsamp in 1me4 (unfinished!)
- parametric bootstrapping:
  - fit null model to data
  - simulate "data" from null model
  - fit null and working model, compute likelihood diff.
  - repeat to estimate null distribution
  - ? analogue for confidence intervals?
- challenges depend on data: total size, # REs, # RE units, overdispersion, design complexity ...

| 4 同 🕨 🔺 🚍 🕨 🤘

More info: glmm.wikidot.com

### Acknowledgements

- Data: Josh Banta and Massimo Pigliucci (*Arabidopsis*); Adrian Stier and Sea McKeon (coral symbionts); Courtney Kagan, Jocelynn Ortega, David Julian (*Glycera*);
- Co-authors: Mollie Brooks, Connie Clark, Shane Geange, John Poulsen, Hank Stevens, Jada White

### References

- Breslow, N.E., 2004. In D.Y. Lin and P.J. Heagerty, editors, Proceedings of the second Seattle symposium in biostatistics: Analysis of correlated data, pages 1–22. Springer. ISBN 0387208623.
- Molenberghs, G. and Verbeke, G., 2007. The American Statistician, 61(1):22–27. doi:10.1198/000313007X171322.

Vaida, F. and Blanchard, S., 2005. Biometrika, 92(2):351-370. doi:10.1093/biomet/92.2.351.

(日)